

## Request for comments

### RFC20050922ARa: Clarification of language regarding destruction of traces

1<sup>st</sup> draft: A. Reeves, September 22, 2005

2<sup>nd</sup> draft: A. Reeves, September 22, 2005

**Applies to:** Model description v1.0.2a (June 11, 2005)

**Type of change:** Language clarification

**Summary:** This RFC codifies wording changes initially suggested by Caroline Dubé, intended to clarify the description of destruction of traces.

**Justification:** Current wording in the model specification is a bit awkward in places regarding destruction of traces. These proposed changes are not intended to alter the meaning of the specification: they should only improve the clarity and readability of the document.

**Change:** This change applies to Section 6.2 (Destruction), third paragraph. Current text to be deleted is struck out, proposed replacement text is highlighted:

Trace-investigations are immediate. Tracing goes one level forward, that is, it identifies units ~~that have had recent contact with a diseased unit, but not units that have had contact with units that have had contact with a diseased unit, and so on~~ that were the recipient of direct or indirect contact from infected, detected units. Tracing does not identify contacts that led to the infection of infected, detected units. (Figure 1).

**Change:** This change applies to the caption of Figure 3. Proposed new text is highlighted:

Figure 1. Trace out. When unit C is detected, units to which C has shipped animals or sent people or equipment are marked for destruction. The trace does not extend further, *e.g.*, to units that shipped animals to C (A or B), or units that received animals from D.

**End of changes**